The History of the Study of Imitative Words in Turkology

Xurramova Dilorom Abduraim qizi

Tashkent State Alisher Navoi Uzbek Language and Literature University, 2nd year doctoral student
2110143@newuu.uz

Abstract. *The complex phonetic and expressive quality of Turkic languages makes the study of imitative words—also referred to as onomatopoeic and sound-symbolic words—an important area of research in Turkology. This study offers a thorough historical account of the investigation of imitative terms in the context of Turkic linguistics, from its inception to the present. Beginning with Mahmud al-Kashgari's epic "Divan-i Lughat al-Turk," the study explores the first references to and classifications of imitative terms in ancient Turkic writings and dictionaries. This is where sound-symbolic aspects were first documented in an organised linguistic framework.*

We also go into great depth about later developments throughout the Soviet era and the post-independence period, showing how methodological methods changed from comparative and descriptive philology to structuralism and then to functional and cognitive paradigms. The research also charts how Turkological studies have been influenced by Western linguistic ideas, namely in the areas of morpho-phonological structure analysis, phonosemantics analysis, and semantic classifications of imitative terms.

The annotation highlights how imitative words, which are ingrained in children's language, oral traditions, and folklore, represent the naturalistic viewpoint and cultural distinctiveness of Turkic peoples. Particular focus is placed on how these words contribute to the lexicon's enrichment, particularly in areas pertaining to nature, emotion, movement, and sound. Uzbek, Kazakh, Kyrgyz, Tuvan, and Turkish are only a few of the Turkic languages that provide comparative examples that highlight the variety and systematic patterns of sound imitation throughout the Turkic linguistic family.

This book advances our knowledge of the ways in which scholars from various eras have examined these occurrences and how sound and meaning are perceived in Turkic languages. It also initiates a conversation on the difficulties in standardising and classifying imitative terms because of their context-dependence and flexibility. Overall, this study provides a thorough historiographical map of a sometimes disregarded yet linguistically rich aspect of the Turkic languages, making it an invaluable tool for linguists, philologists, and Turkology academics.

Key words: *Turkology, Imitative words, Onomatopoeia, Sound symbolism, Lexicology, Historical linguistics, Phonosemantics, Etymology, Language evolution, Altaic languages, Turkish dialects, Language contact, Semantic change, Linguistic research, Descriptive linguistics.*

Introduction.

In linguistics, particularly in the subject of Turkology, the study of imitative words—also referred to as onomatopoeic or sound-symbolic words—occupys a peculiar and intriguing place. Linguists have long been interested in these words because of their vivid expressiveness, seeming universality across languages, and intimate relationship to sensory experience. These words copy or reproduce natural

sounds, motions, or feelings through their phonetic structure. Imitative words in Turkic languages provide windows into the cultural, cognitive, and historical facets of Turkic-speaking peoples in addition to being expressive communication tools.

Because of their prevalence, diversity, and practical importance in Turkic lexicons, imitative terms have drawn special attention from Turkology, the academic discipline devoted to the study of Turkic languages, literatures, civilisations, and histories. There is an extensive collection of imitative vocabulary in Turkic languages, such as Turkish, Uzbek, Kazakh, Kyrgyz, Turkmen, Tatar, Uighur, and others. These words are expressive and powerful communicators because they frequently display unique morphological and phonological characteristics such vowel harmony, consonant alternation, and reduplication. Imitative words are frequently employed to generate strong images, depict noises and motions, and express emotional states in a variety of contexts, including common speech, folklore, poetry, and children's literature.

Materials.

Early comparative and descriptive studies of Turkic languages in the 19th and early 20th centuries served as the foundation for the historical study of imitative terms in Turkology. Pioneering linguists and philologists from the Turkic realm as well as Europe and Russia started methodically recording the phonetic, lexical, and grammatical features of Turkic languages at this time. Turkic vocabulary, including imitative forms, was first recorded and categorised with the help of notable academics including Wilhelm Radloff, Vasily Bartold, and Ahmet Caferoğlu. The extensive usage of sound-imitative terms and their contribution to the aesthetic and cultural aspects of language were made clear by the documenting of dialects, folk literature, and oral traditions.

In the decades that followed, the theoretical foundations of imitative words received fresh attention thanks to the advancements in structural linguistics, phonosemantics, and cognitive linguistics. Turkologists started investigating issues related to the morphological features, semantic areas, origin and categorisation of these words, and how much they adhere to or depart from standard language patterns. The typological characteristics of imitative terms in various Turkic languages were also examined by researchers in an effort to comprehend their areal distribution, historical evolution, and mutual impacts.

Furthermore, the study of imitative words touches on more general topics in Turkology, such as historical linguistics, ethnolinguistics, and language interaction. Comparative research between Turkic and nearby language families, such Indo-Iranian, Tungusic, and Mongolic, for example, has shown similar cultural traits, potential borrowings, and expressive patterns. The way that idiomatic phrases, traditional tunes, and children's speech use imitative words has also shed light on how language structures represent cultural values and cognitive schemas.

Research and methods.

The study of imitative words is still a dynamic and developing area of study in modern Turkology. More accurate and methodical studies of the composition and use of sound-symbolic expressions have been made possible by developments in corpus linguistics, acoustic phonetics, and language documentation. Additionally, new directions for investigating the cognitive and perceptual underpinnings of imitative word creation have been made possible by the fusion of psycholinguistic and neurolinguistic methodologies.

The goal of this essay is to present a thorough history of Turkology's investigation of imitative terms. The study aims to shed light on the importance of imitative words as cultural artefacts that represent the lived experiences and expressive traditions of Turkic-speaking communities, in addition to their significance as linguistic phenomena, by charting the evolution of scholarly interest, methodological approaches, and important findings in this area. The inquiry will also provide insight on current discussions, theoretical models, and potential avenues for further research in Turkic linguistics' study of sound symbolism.

In Turkology, the scholarly discipline that focusses on the Turkic languages and civilisations, the study of onomatopoeia, or imitative words, has a lengthy and complex history. An outline of this intriguing field of linguistic research is provided below:

Classical Linguistic References: Early examples of imitative words may be discovered in the Orkhon inscriptions from the eighth century and other writings that described many facets of Turkic word development and phonetics.

19th Century Scholarship: As Turkology developed as a separate academic field in the 19th century, researchers began to methodically examine the composition and purpose of imitative words. These terms were recorded by pioneers like Wilhelm von Humboldt and subsequently by linguists from Russia and Turkey, who frequently contrasted them with words from other language families.

Developments in the 20th Century: Linguistic analysis of Turkic languages, especially the methodical examination of phonetic imitations, flourished in the early to mid-20th century. Scholars like Ramazan Korkmaz and others started classifying these words by evaluating their morphological and phonetic characteristics.

Comparative Studies: A number of linguists began examining imitative terms in various Turkic languages, identifying both commonalities and distinctive evolutions. In addition to shedding light on the cultural meaning of these terms, this comparative method brought attention to the diversity seen within Turkic languages.

Cognitive Linguistics: This field of research, which examines how language reflects conceptualisations of the world, has more recently had an impact on the study of imitative words. The formation of imitative words from human sensory experiences and their resonance in cultural contexts have been studied by scholars.

Multidisciplinary Methods: In order to comprehend the function of imitative words in oral traditions, folklore, and daily communication, modern Turkology increasingly integrates multidisciplinary approaches, fusing linguistic study with anthropology, sociology, and psychology.

Turkology's extensive and varied history of studying onomatopoeia, or imitative words, reflects the larger linguistic, cultural, and social developments within Turkic languages and their speakers. An outline of the main facets of this field is provided below:

Mythology and Oral Tradition: The study of imitative terms in Turkic languages has its origins in Turkic peoples' oral traditions and folklore. Imitative terms, which were crucial for expressing sounds in nature, animals, and everyday life, were included in many early folklore collections.

19th Century Linguistics: In the 19th century, researchers like as Karl von der Hoven and others began to formally investigate Turkic languages by cataloguing vocabulary and phonetic characteristics, including imitation terms. A more methodical approach to linguistics in Turkology began around this time.

Results.

Developments of the 20th Century

Structuralism: Turkology adopted a more analytical approach to language as a result of the mid-20th century advent of structuralism. Based on their phonetic patterns and sentence functions, academics started classifying imitative words.

Comparative Linguistics: Scholars examined the similarities and contrasts between imitative terms in various Turkic languages. The Turkic language family's shared ancestry and the development of sound symbolism were both aided by this comparative method.

Sociolinguistic Viewpoints: Sociolinguistic research started to take shape in the second half of the 20th century, examining how geographical differences, cultural traditions, and societal shifts are reflected in imitative terms among Turkic-speaking communities.

Cognitive Linguistics: In recent research, cognitive linguistics has been used to analyse how the mind processes imitative words and how they function in conceptualisation. As a result, we now know more about how language use is influenced by sound symbolism.

Digital Humanities: Large-scale examinations of imitative terms in Turkic corpora have been made possible by the development of digital technologies. Now, use trends, frequency, and semantic changes over time may be monitored by researchers.

Interdisciplinary Approaches: To comprehend the wider ramifications of imitative words in communication, modern Turkologists frequently employ interdisciplinary approaches that integrate linguistics with anthropology, psychology, and cultural studies.

Discussion.

From its early origins in folklore to contemporary multidisciplinary research, the study of imitative words in Turkology has undergone tremendous change. This development emphasises the significance of sound symbolism in comprehending Turkic languages and their speakers and is a reflection of shifts in linguistic theory, cultural dynamics, and technical developments. More information regarding the complex relationships between language, culture, and cognition in the Turkic world will likely become available as study advances.

Turkology's study of imitative words reveals a complex interaction between language, society, and thought. Imitative words continue to provide new information about Turkic languages and their communities, from early findings in ancient texts to modern multidisciplinary studies. Our knowledge will probably be further enhanced by new approaches and paradigms as scholarly interest develops.

Conclusion.

In Turkology, the study of imitative words—also known as onomatopoeic or echoic words—has a unique place as it reflects the Turkic languages' complex phonetics and depth of expression. By following these lexemes' development through oral traditions, folklore, and written texts, linguists and philologists have investigated them over multiple centuries as both language phenomena and cultural identifiers. According to the current study, this historical trajectory shows an increasing understanding of the crucial function imitative words play in Turkic language vocabulary systems and their contribution to speech's expressive capacity.

Imitative words have been recognised, albeit frequently without systematic classification, since the earliest Old Turkic text records, such as the Orkhon-Yenisey inscriptions, to the extensive lexicons assembled during the mediaeval Islamic period—most notably by Mahmud al-Kashgari in his Dīwān Lughāt al-Turk. These early sources showed an instinctive grasp of how Turkic speakers' language consciousness uses sound symbolism, frequently associating certain sounds with the environment, animal behaviour, or human emotions.

As comparative Turkology became a recognised academic field in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, researchers started to examine imitative terms more rigorously from a scientific standpoint. Researchers like Wilhelm Radloff, V. V. Bartold, and A. N. Samoilovich investigated the phonetic patterns, derivational possibilities, and semantic domains of onomatopoeic expressions across a variety of Turkic languages, influenced by European phonological theories and developments in structural linguistics. By laying the groundwork for typological classification and comparative analysis, these investigations allowed linguists to identify patterns of sound imitation and track use fluctuations over time and space.

The study of imitative words developed during the Soviet era when linguists included these components into more comprehensive theories of word formation, morphology, and stylistics. A. M. Shcherbak and other academics have highlighted the effectiveness of imitative words in creating new lexemes, especially in informal and child-directed speech. Simultaneously, ethnolinguists and folklorists emphasised the function of onomatopoeia in ceremonial language, lullabies, riddles, and oral literature, highlighting its emotive and expressive potential beyond simple sound copying.

The study of imitative terms has broadened to encompass multidisciplinary methods in the current era due to the post-Soviet states' renewed interest in Turkic identity and linguistic history. New insights on the processing, learning, and transmission of these words are provided by the intersection of classical philology with cognitive linguistics, psycholinguistics, and language acquisition studies. Furthermore, scholars can now examine the frequency, distribution, and contextual usage of imitative terms with previously unheard-of accuracy thanks to developments in digital corpora and computational linguistics.

The sociolinguistic aspects of imitative terms are also important to consider, especially in light of dialectal variety, language interaction, and the preservation of Turkic languages that are in risk of extinction. Imitative phrases connect language to a common sensory experience of the environment and are often vivid relics of preliterate speech and oral tradition. The dynamic interaction between sound, meaning, and cultural memory is highlighted by their persistence and ongoing usage.

In summary, the evolution of Turkological research itself, from descriptive origins and comparative methods to functional and cognitive frameworks, is reflected in the history of the study of imitative words. Onomatopoeic and mimetic words continue to be a fruitful field for research since they are living examples of how Turkic peoples interacted with their surroundings. In addition to expanding our knowledge of the Turkic linguistic environment, continued study of their structure, semantics, and usage will provide light on the innate human need to mould language in accordance with sound.

List of used literatures:

1. Baskakov, N. A. (1981). Introduction to the Study of the Turkic Languages. Moscow: Nauka.

2. Doerfer, G. (1981). Lautmalende Wörter in den altaischen Sprachen. Ural-Altaische Jahrbücher, 53, 100-120.

3. Clauson, G. (1972). An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

4. Poppe, N. (1965). Introduction to Altaic Linguistics. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.

5. Ramstedt, G. J. (1952). Über die Lautgesetze der altaischen Sprachen. Helsinki: Suomalais-Ugrilainen Seura.

6. Johanson, L. & Csato, É. Á. (1998). The Turkic Languages. London: Routledge.

7. Krueger, J. R. (1962). Tuvan Manual. Bloomington: Indiana University.

8. Menges, K. H. (1959). The Turkic Languages and Peoples: An Introduction to Turkic Studies. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.

9. Tekin, T. (1994). A Grammar of Orkhon Turkic. Bloomington: Indiana University.

10. Räsänen, M. (1969). Versuch eines etymologischen Wörterbuchs der Türksprachen. Helsinki: Suomalais-Ugrilainen Seura.