Different Approaches to Understanding Impersonal Sentences in English

Normuminova Khulkar Zokirovna

1st year master's student of the Foreign languages and literature faculty, University of Economics and Pedagogy, Uzbekistan
normumunivahulkar1985@gmail.com

Danieva Maysara Jamalovna
Scientific advisor: PhD., prof.
University of Economics and Pedagogy, Uzbekistan

Abstract. *Impersonal sentences in English play a crucial role in communication, allowing speakers to convey information without specifying an agent. These constructions are frequently used in formal, academic, and scientific discourse, where objectivity and depersonalization are required. Linguistic theories, including traditional grammar, structuralism, transformational-generative grammar, and cognitive-functional linguistics, provide different perspectives on their structure and usage. This article examines these approaches, analyzing their contributions to the study of impersonal sentences. By combining syntactic, cognitive, and functional insights, this study highlights the significance of impersonal constructions in effective communication.*

Key words: *impersonal sentences, syntactic structure, linguistic analysis, pragmatics, functional grammar.*

Introduction

Impersonal sentences are a unique feature of English grammar. Unlike standard sentences that contain a clear subject, these constructions either omit the subject

entirely or use placeholder subjects such as it or there. Impersonal sentences are common in both spoken and written English, particularly in formal and academic settings. Examples of impersonal constructions include:

Weather expressions: It is raining.

Existential statements: There are many solutions to this problem.

General truths: It is believed that language shapes thought.

Obligation and necessity: It is essential to follow the guidelines.

These sentences are widely used because they shift attention away from the subject and toward the action, state, or general fact being expressed. Various linguistic approaches attempt to explain the nature and function of impersonal sentences. This article explores traditional, structuralist, transformational-generative, cognitive, and functional perspectives to provide a comprehensive understanding of impersonal constructions in English.

Research objectives. To examine different linguistic approaches to impersonal sentences.

To analyze how syntactic, cognitive, and functional factors influence their structure and use.

To compare traditional, transformational, and functional perspectives on impersonal constructions.

Methods. This study employs a comparative linguistic analysis of impersonal sentences in English. The research methodology includes:

Traditional Grammar Analysis: Examining the role of placeholder subjects (it, there) in sentence structure.

Structuralist Approach: Identifying common patterns in impersonal sentences based on syntactic classification.

Transformational-Generative Analysis: Exploring how impersonal constructions derive from deep structures.

Cognitive-Functional Perspective: Investigating how impersonal sentences reflect human cognition and discourse functions.

A comparative evaluation of different linguistic models helps bridge the gap between structural and functional explanations of impersonal constructions.

Results. *Traditional and Structuralist Approaches. Traditional Grammar.* Traditional grammar classifies sentences based on their structure and function. Impersonal sentences are defined as those that do not have a specific agent or subject performing the action. Placeholder subjects such as it and there are often used to fill the subject position:

It is obvious that she is right.

There seems to be a misunderstanding.

While traditional grammar provides a useful classification, it does not offer an in-depth explanation of why impersonal structures exist or how they function in discourse.

Structuralist Linguistics. Structuralist linguists, such as Leonard Bloomfield (1933), focused on describing sentence patterns and classifying impersonal sentences based on their syntactic structure. Structuralism identifies common patterns in impersonal sentences, such as existential constructions (There is a book on the table) and extraposition constructions (It is necessary to study hard). While structuralism provides insights into sentence formation, it does not address the cognitive or pragmatic reasons behind the use of impersonal sentences.

Discussion. *Transformational-Generative. Grammar Approach. Deep and Surface Structure.* Noam Chomsky's (1957) transformational-generative grammar (TGG) introduced the concepts of deep and surface structures, explaining how sentences are transformed from more basic forms. Impersonal sentences often derive from deeper structures that contain explicit subjects:

It is said that she will succeed.

Deep structure: People say that she will succeed.

Transformation: The subject people is omitted, resulting in an impersonal sentence.

The Role of Expletives. TGG distinguishes between real subjects and expletive subjects. Expletives (it and there) exist purely for grammatical completeness and do not carry meaning:

Expletive "it": It appears that the decision was correct.

Expletive "there": There were many issues to discuss.

While TGG provides a structural explanation of impersonal sentences, it does not fully address their communicative function.

Cognitive and Functional Approaches. *Cognitive Linguistics.* Cognitive linguistics, as developed by Langacker (1987), emphasizes that impersonal sentences reflect how people conceptualize events. By using impersonal constructions, speakers highlight events or abstract ideas rather than individual agents. For example:

It is important to understand the consequences.

Focuses on the idea of importance rather than an individual's opinion.

This cognitive perspective explains why impersonal sentences are commonly used in academic and formal writing.

Functional Linguistics. Functional linguistics examines how language is used in communication. Impersonal sentences serve several pragmatic functions:

Objectivity. It is widely accepted that climate change is real. (Removes personal bias)

Politeness and indirectness. It seems that an error was made. (Less confrontational than You made an error.)

De-personalization. There is a need for improvement. (Avoids directly assigning responsibility)

These functions make impersonal sentences valuable in scientific writing, legal documents, and bureaucratic communication.

Conclusion. Impersonal sentences are a fundamental feature of English, commonly used for objectivity, indirectness, and generalization. Different linguistic theories provide complementary insights into their structure and function. Traditional and structuralist approaches describe their form, while transformational-generative grammar explains their deep structure. Cognitive and functional linguistics explore their role in meaning-making and communication.

Future research can investigate impersonal sentences in different registers, such as academic discourse, journalism, and legal texts, to better understand their role in communication.

Future Research. Further studies should examine impersonal sentences across different registers (e.g., academic writing, journalism, legal texts) to analyze their role in effective communication

Reference

1. Bloomfield, L. (1933). Language. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
2. Chomsky, N. (1957). Syntactic Structures. Mouton.
3. Halliday, M. A. K. (1994). An Introduction to Functional Grammar. Edward Arnold.
4. Langacker, R. (1987). Foundations of Cognitive Grammar: Theoretical Prerequisites. Stanford University Press.
5. Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language. Longman.
6. Van Valin, R. D., & LaPolla, R. J. (1997). Syntax: Structure, Meaning, and Function. Cambridge University Press.
7. Mamadiyorova Mariyam Kosim kizi, & Bakhtiyorova Maftuna Bakhtiyorovna. (2025). PRAGMATIC AND STYLISTIC ASPECTS OF NEOLOGISMS IN CONTEMPORARY MEDIA AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR VOCABULARY TEACHING. Journal of Universal Science Research, 3(1), 89–95. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14674390 https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/jusr/article/view/9454
8. Mamadiyorova , M. ., & Bakhtiyorova , M. . (2025). PRAGMATIC AND STYLISTIC ASPECTS OF NEOLOGISMS IN MODERN ENGLISH (BASED ON MEDIA MATERIALS) AND THEIR ROLE IN TEACHING VOCABULARY. Центральноазиатский журнал междисциплинарных исследований и исследований в области управления, 2(1), 187–191. извлечено от https://in-academy.uz/index.php/cajmrms/article/view/43009
9. Mamadiyorova , M. ., & Bakhtiyorova , M. . (2025). PRAGMATIC AND STYLISTIC ASPECTS OF NEOLOGISMS IN MODERN ENGLISH (BASED ON MEDIA MATERIALS) AND THEIR ROLE IN TEACHING VOCABULARY. (2025). Journal of Science-Innovative Research in Uzbekistan, 3(1),217-221. https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/jsiru/article/view/9503
10. Sadullaeva, N., & Bakhtiyorova, M. (2021). Reflection of Onomastic Principles in Naming. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, 3001- 3007.
11. Бахтиёрова, М. (2024). Atoqli otlarning umumnazariy muammolari. Зарубежная лингвистика и лингводидактика, 2(6), 40-45.
12. Ismoilov, A., & Bakhtiyorova, M. (2024). THE PROBLEM OF COMPONENTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEANING IN PRESENT DAY LEXICOLOGY. Current approaches and new research in modern sciences, 3(7), 26-29.
13. Alisher o'g'li, I. A., & Bakhtiyorovna, B. M. (2024, May). THE PROBLEM OF COMPONENTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEANING IN PRESENT DAY LEXICOLOGY. In Konferensiyalar| Conferences (Vol. 1, No. 10, pp. 748-752).
14. BAXTIYOROVA, M. (2024). ONOMASTIK KONSEPT TUSHUNCHASI. UzMU xabarlari, 1(1.4), 288-292. 14. Камолова, Р. Ш., & Бахтиярова, М. (2024). ЭМОЦИОНАЛЬНЫЕ КОННОТАЦИИ ПРИЛАГАТЕЛЬНЫХ, ОПИСЫВАЮЩИХ ПОГОДУ. TA'LIM VA RIVOJLANISH TAHLILI ONLAYN ILMIY JURNALI, 4(1), 30-33.
15. Baxtiyorova, M. (2023). ONOMASTIKONLARNING LINGVOMADANIY XUSUSIYATLARI. Namangan davlat universiteti Ilmiy axborotnomasi, (9), 464-469.
16. Baxtiyorovna, B. M. (2023). INGLIZ VA O 'ZBEK BADIIY ADABIYOTIDA ONOMASTIKONLARNING CHOG'ISHTIRMA SEMANTIK TAHLILI. " GERMANY"

MODERN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH: ACHIEVEMENTS, INNOVATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS, 9(1).

17. Baxtiyorova, M. (2023). INGLIZ VA O 'ZBEK BADIIY ADABIYOTIDA ASAR QAHRAMONLARI NOMLARINING MATN "JOURNAL OF SCIENCE-INNOVATIVE RESEARCH IN UZBEKISTAN" JURNALI VOLUME 3, ISSUE 01, 2025. YANUARY ResearchBib Impact Factor: 9.654/2024 ISSN 2992-8869 221 YARATISHDAGI ISHTIROKI. Namangan davlat universiteti Ilmiy axborotnomasi, (10), 268-273.

18. Bakhtiyorovna, B. M. (2022). Discursive-pragmatic nature of anthroponyms. Asian Journal Of Multidimensional Research, 11(9), 110-114.

19. Bakhtiyarova, M. B. (2021). VERBALIZATION OF THE CONCEPT" ONIM" IN LINGUOCOGNITOLOGY. Ростовский научный вестник, (3), 11- 12.

20. Baxtiyorova, M. B. (2020). ANTROPONIMLARNING SHAKLLANISHIDA MORFEMALARNING SEMANTIK VA USLUBIY XUSUSIYATLARI. Студенческий вестник, (36-3), 96-98.

21. Bakhtiyorova, M. (2019). THE EFFECT OF USING MNEMONICS. Студенческий вестник, (22-8), 63-65.

22. Pulatova, S., & Bakhtiyorova, M. (2019). THE STRUCTURALSEMANTIC ANALYSIS OF THE WORDS RELATED TO" SPORTS" IN PRESENT DAY ENGLISH. Студенческий вестник, (22-8), 69-71.

23. Bakhtiyorova, M., & Djumabayeva, J. (2017). WRITERS MAKE NATIONAL LITERATURE, WHILE TRANSLATORS MAKE UNIVERSAL LITERATURE. Студенческий вестник, (10), 55-56.

24. Bakhtiyorova, M., & Elmurodova, F. (2017). THE PRINCIPLES OF SEMANTICS. Студенческий вестник, (10), 52-54.

25. Bakhtiyorova, M., & Elmurodova, F. (2017). PAPERS IN ENGLISH. СТУДЕНЧЕСКИЙ ВЕСТНИК, 10, 52 Uzbek National Corpus (2024).