AJPMHS GLOBAL RESEARCH NETWORK

AMERICAN Journal of Pediatric Medicine and Health Sciences
Volume 3, Issue 7, 2025 ISSN (E): 2993-2149

Pediatric Emergencies: Unique Challenges and Solutions in Acute Care Settings

Dr. Zuhair Kareem Abdullah Alzubaidy
M.B.Ch.B., DCH, CABP (Specialist Paediatric) Iraqi Ministry of Health, Al-Russafa Health Directorate, Al-Shaheed Al-Sader General Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq

Dr. Ammar Abbas Hameed Sulaymon
M.B.Ch.B., C.A.B.P. (Specialist Paediatric) Iraqi Ministry of Health, Al-Russafa Health Department, Pediatric Department, Al-Zafaraniyha General Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq

Dr. Mufeid Azhar Ghani
M.B.Ch.B., Arab Board Emergency Medicine, Iraqi Ministry of Health, Al-Najaf Health Directorate, Al-Najaf, Iraq

Abstract: Pediatric emergencies pose unique challenges to acute care relating to the physiological and developmental makeup of children. This study aims to explore the clinical profiles, systemic barriers, and solutions for pediatric emergency care in a tertiary hospital environment. A prospective observational study was conducted with 110 patients (aged 0 to 15 years) presenting to the emergency department with an acute medical or traumatic emergency and Collected data included demographic data, anthropometry, the reason for attendance, triage levels, interventions, and outcomes, Respiratory distress and trauma were the primary reasons for presentation, accounting for more than 50% of cases Though the majority of patients required supportive care, 21% were admitted to the intensive care unit furthermore The major systemic barriers identified were a lack of pediatric-specific equipment and lack of trained staff. Interventions of staff training, protocol implementation and providing adequate resources were successful measures to help overcome these barriers, where The total mortality rate was low (1%) and most of patients had resolved symptoms and were fully recovered within 30 days, These results highlight the need for pediatric-specific emergency protocols, adequate resources, and education/allowance of continuing training to improve outcomes in pediatric acute care, finally This study provided valuable insights for consideration into the improvement of pediatric emergency services in similar health service settings.

Keywords: Pediatric emergencies, Acute care, Triage, respiratory distress, Trauma, Pediatric intensive care, Resource limitations, Staff training, Clinical outcomes, Emergency department.

Introduction

Pediatric emergencies are a critical aspect of acute care that requires specialized expertise, competencies, and resources to effectively meet the distinct physiological and developmental needs of children, with Unlike adults, pediatric patients have distinct anatomical and physiological characteristics that profoundly influence the occurrence, progression, and therapeutic management of emergency conditions [1] which These differences, coupled with the

broad age range from neonates to adolescents, present complex challenges for healthcare professionals working in emergency settings. Globally, pediatric emergencies feature a broad range of clinical presentations, including respiratory distress, trauma, seizures, infections, and allergic reactions, all of which require prompt recognition and intervention to prevent morbidity and mortality [2]. Were Pediatric emergency medicine is a sensitive and delicate specialty of emergency medicine, and it is not enough to treat an injured or ill child in the same way as an adult [3,4]. A child is not a "little adult." Unique physiological, anatomical, and developmental aspects of children demand a special understanding and individualized diagnostic and treatment methods. Appreciation of these basic differences is the cornerstone of effective and life-saving emergency care in this vulnerable population [5,6]. As well as Diagnostic Differences: Pediatric Body Language and the Importance of a Proper History. A critical challenge associated with diagnosing pediatric emergencies is the restricted capacity of young patients to articulate their symptoms [7]. That Infants and toddlers depend exclusively on nonverbal communication, such as body language and cues, to express their discomfort. Indicators of distress, including crying, irritability, fluctuations in activity levels, difficulties with feeding or eating, and alterations in skin color, are essential signs that require careful interpretation and understanding by qualified healthcare professionals, including physicians and nurses [8,9,10].

In contrast to adults, getting an accurate history for a child is more dependent on the caregivers or parents [11] Thus, communicating well with parents becomes an essential component of the diagnostic procedure [12] There should be a meticulous inquiry regarding the onset and course of symptoms, associated symptoms, the child's past medical history, immunization status, and known allergies [13,14] The medical history from the parents could be the most significant clue to help guide the diagnosis. Emergency care is an essential part of the health system, delivering life-saving interventions and urgent care to patients with acute illnesses [15] The quality of emergency care, however, may significantly vary across settings based on the availability of resources, training of staff, patient load, and integration with other health care providers [16] Quality improvement in emergency care can lead to improved patient outcomes, satisfaction, safety, and efficiency, Quality improvement (QI) is a methodical process of detecting and solving discrepancies or issues in the provision of health care services, employing data-driven techniques and evidence-based practices where in this study looks into difficulties dealing with child emergencies in urgent care facilities and analyses how well different approaches that were used to address these problems worked.

Material and method

- Paediatric Emergencies: Unique Challenges and Solutions in Acute Care Settings
- Involving 110 patients from different hospitals from Iraq, at a 1-year study period from 1 March 20204 to 4 April 2025, can be designed as a prospective observational study conducted in a tertiary paediatric emergency department.
- The study population will include children aged 0 to 15 years presenting with emergency conditions requiring acute care.

Inclusion criteria

- Children aged **0 to 15 years** presenting to the emergency department with acute medical or traumatic conditions requiring urgent evaluation and management.
- Patients triaged at **emergency or priority levels** (e.g., triage levels 1 and 2), indicating the need for immediate or urgent care.
- Patients who present with **signs of life-threatening conditions** such as severe respiratory distress, shock, altered consciousness, seizures, or trauma, consistent with WHO ETAT guidelines for pediatric emergency triage and assessment.

- Patients whose guardians provide **informed consent** for participation in the study, ensuring ethical compliance.
- Patients who are **initial presentations** to the emergency department, excluding those transferred after initial stabilization elsewhere, are included to maintain consistency in acute care assessment.

Exclusion criteria

will include patients with incomplete records or those transferred from other facilities after initial stabilization.

Data collection

- will involve systematic recording of demographic variables (age, sex, height, weight, BMI), clinical presentation (chief complaints, vital signs), triage level, interventions performed, and disposition outcomes.
- Standardized data collection forms will be used to ensure consistency.
- Anthropometric measurements will be taken on admission using calibrated equipment to assess nutritional status, which is critical in pediatric emergency care.
- The study will also document systemic challenges encountered during care delivery, such as resource limitations and staffing issues, along with solutions implemented, including staff training and protocol adherence.
- Waiting times and 30-day outcomes will be tracked through hospital records and follow-up calls.
- Data analysis will be descriptive, presenting frequencies, percentages, medians, and ranges for continuous variables.
- Comparisons between subgroups (e.g., age groups, triage levels) may be performed using appropriate statistical tests to identify factors associated with outcomes.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval will be obtained from the institutional review board, ensuring confidentiality and informed consent from guardians. The study will adhere to international pediatric emergency research guidelines, including the use of evidence-based frameworks such as WHO ETAT guidelines for triage and management, and will follow best practices for pediatric research ethics.

Results

Table 1: Patient Demographics and Anthropometrics

Characteristic	Number (%) / Median (Range)
Total patients	110 (100%)
Age (years)	3 (0–15)
Male	72 (65%)
Female	38 (35%)
Height (cm)	95 (45–160)
Weight (kg)	15 (3.5–60)
BMI (kg/m²)	16.5 (12–28)

Table 2: Distribution of Triage Levels Among Pediatric Patients at Presentation

Triage Level	Number (%)
Level 1	18 (16%)
Level 2	92 (84%)

Table 3: Frequency of Presenting Complaints in Pediatric Emergency Cases

Complaint	Number (%)
Respiratory distress	30 (27%)
Trauma/Injury	28 (25%)
Seizures	15 (14%)
Fever/Infection	14 (13%)
Gastrointestinal symptoms	12 (11%)
Allergic reactions	6 (5%)
Other	5 (5%)

Table 4: Vital Signs and Physiological Parameters at Admission

Parameter	Value (Range)
Systolic BP (mmHg)	108 (53–182)
Pulse rate (/min)	127 (38–190)
Respiratory rate	31 (14–60)
Temperature (°C)	36.2 (34–40)
Oxygen saturation (%)	90 (70–100)

Table 5: Types and Frequency of Interventions Performed in the Emergency Department

Intervention	Number (%)
Intravenous fluid loading	8 (7%)
Endotracheal intubation	3 (3%)
Inotropic drug use	1 (1%)
Cardiopulmonary resuscitation	1 (1%)
None	97 (88%)

Table 6: Patient Disposition and Outcomes Following Emergency Department Care

Outcome	Number (%)
Discharged home	47 (43%)
Admitted to the ward	37 (34%)
Admitted to ICU	23 (21%)
Transferred to other facility	2 (2%)
Death	1 (1%)

Table 7: Systemic Barriers Encountered in Pediatric Emergency Care Delivery

Barrier	Number (%)
Lack of pediatric equipment	28 (25%)
Insufficient trained staff	22 (20%)
Delayed transport	14 (13%)
Inadequate triage	13 (12%)
Overcrowding	10 (9%)
Language/cultural barriers	8 (7%)
Other	15 (14%)

Table 8: Implemented Solutions to Address Challenges in Pediatric Acute Care

Solution	Number (%)
Staff training/simulation	35 (32%)
Pediatric-specific protocols	28 (25%)
Telemedicine support	16 (15%)

Dedicated pediatric equipment	14 (13%)
Family-Centered Care Initiatives	10 (9%)
Quality improvement projects	7 (6%)

Table 9: Waiting Times for Admission from the Emergency Department

Waiting Time (hours)	Number (%)
<1	40 (36%)
1–3	45 (41%)
>3	25 (23%)

Table 10: Outcomes at 30 Days

Outcome	Number (%)
Full recovery	100 (91%)
Minor complications	7 (6%)
Major complications/disability	2 (2%)
Death	1 (1%)

Discussion

The management of pediatric emergencies poses particular challenges in acute care settings due to the distinct physiological, developmental, and psychosocial characteristics of children. Our study, covering 110 pediatric patients, highlights these challenges and discusses possible solutions for the improvement of care delivery, according to comparing our findings with the existing literature, several key themes emerge, including patient demographics, complaints on presentation, limitations in resources, and measures for quality improvement where Our demographic statistics revealed a preponderance of young children with a median age of 3 years and a 65% male majority, This is consistent with previous studies like those reviewed by Remick et al., who described PEDs frequently treating a large number of infants and toddlers due to their susceptibility to acute illness and injury [17,18], The age range highlights the necessity for age-related equipment and protocols, as younger children have specific airway management and dosing requirements as well as Respiratory distress and trauma were the most common presenting complaints in our population, representing more than 50% of presentations [19,20] This aligns with the World Health Organization's ETAT guidelines that place airway and breathing as a priority in pediatric emergency management3. The same results were seen in a large survey of pediatric emergency presentations, in which respiratory infections and injuries represented the lion's share of presentations needing urgent attention, where The preponderance of these conditions highlights the value of having rapid assessment tools and efficient triage systems to detect children at risk of deterioration , in addition to Triage levels in our research were 16% for level 1 (immediate) and the rest level 2 (urgent), This breakdown parallels the model put forward by Remick et al., which recommends formalized triage systems to streamline resource utilization in low-volume PEDs1,Correct triage of pediatric patients continues to pose a challenge worldwide, and delays or incorrect classification could result in poor outcomes, [21,22] Our findings support the necessity for ongoing staff education and utilization of validated triage tools like ETAT or the Pediatric Early Warning Score (PEWS) while in our study Vital signs and anthropometric measurements obtained in our study give insight into the physiological status of children upon presentation, And by looking at The median oxygen saturation of 90% suggests that many children presented with impaired respiratory function, requiring oxygen therapy and close observation which This is corroborated by WHO evidence-based guidelines, which call for the institution of oxygen therapy at specified saturation levels to decrease mortality and morbidity Additionally, the fact that height, weight, and BMI data were included enabled us to evaluate nutritional status, a key determinant of resilience in pediatric

emergencies and Malnutrition or obesity can complicate management and influence outcomes, an association reported in other studies evaluating pediatric emergency care quality [22,23,24].

Intervention rates within our cohort were low, with intubation or inotropic support needed in only a small proportion which This mirrors the fact that the majority of pediatric emergencies will be able to be treated with supportive care and close observation, as emphasized in practice guidelines from the American College of Emergency Physicians, That there were critically ill patients who needed resuscitation, however, emphasizes the importance of pediatric-specific skill and equipment preparedness in every emergency department while Disposition results were that 43% of patients were discharged home, 34% needed ward admission, and 21% needed ICU care where These rates are similar to those described in NHS England's same-day emergency care models, which try to minimize unnecessary admissions via expedited diagnostics and treatment pathways, The overall relatively low mortality of 1% in our study is similar to international standards, demonstrating improvements in pediatric emergency care but also the need for continued quality improvement efforts as well as Our investigation revealed key systemic obstacles, such as pediatric-specific equipment lack (25%) and inadequate trained personnel (20%), that are generic problems across the globe, refer These results mirror the structural quality measurements that other investigators reported, highlighting that resource constraints continue to be a significant barrier to providing ideal pediatric emergency care67. Crowding and delayed transport worsen the situations, which frequently result in extended waiting times and inefficient patient flow, in our study We responded to these difficulties by introducing staff training programs, the development of pediatric protocols, and the buying of specialized equipment, These measures echo suggestions from quality improvement initiatives that call for multidisciplinary intervention and ongoing education to develop pediatric emergency preparedness, the integration of telemedicine and family-Centered care initiatives also echoes current trends in response to the need to improve access and patient experience, as described in recent NHS guidelines, that Admission waiting times in our cohort were largely less than three hours, an important benchmark for emergency department efficiency. Patient flow mapping studies in pediatric emergency departments have shown that decreasing turnaround times for treatment and diagnostics directly enhances throughput and patient outcomes and according Our results validate the introduction of lean workflows and fast diagnostic access as key elements of models of pediatric emergency care while that In benchmarking our findings against international benchmarks, it is apparent that although improvements have been realized, there are still gaps in realizing consistency of high-quality care for pediatric emergencies.

Conclusion

As we have seen, this research adds to the existing literature on pediatric emergency medicine by analyzing the complications that arise in acute care settings and providing viable options to tackle those. The most common problems, as seen in other works, are respiratory and trauma emergencies, which need efficient and swift triage and intervention systems. Issues like the lack of available equipment and insufficient staffing numbers need to be solved through purposeful quality improvement initiatives. Rolling out evidence-based frameworks and pioneering new models of care strengthens the system and enables the provision of timely and effective emergency care to children. Further research is needed to understand the global inequities in pediatric emergency services.

References

1. McGillivray, D., & Jarvis, A. (2007). A history of paediatric emergency medicine in Canada. Paediatrics & Child Health, 12 (6), 453-456.
2. Rowe, Brian H., Leeor Eliyahu, Justin Lowes, Lindsay A. Gaudet, Jeremy Beach, Martin Mrazik, Garnet Cummings, and Donald Voaklander. "Concussion diagnoses among adults presenting to three Canadian emergency departments: missed opportunities." The American journal of emergency medicine 36, no. 12 (2018): 2144-2151.

3. Cohn, K. A., Balamuth, F. M., Alpern, E. R., & Henretig, F. M. (2016). Fever in Children: Clinical Pathway. In Fleisher and Ludwig's Textbook of Pediatric Emergency Medicine (7th edition). Wolters Kluwer.
4. Nickerson, J., Ghatak-Roy, A., Donnelly, K. A., Thomas, A. A., Robinson, D., Roszczynialski, K. N., & Zhao, X. (2023). The current state of pediatric emergency medicine training in emergency medicine residencies. Pediatric Emergency Care, 39 (3), 167-172.
5. Andrews, J. S., & Mathews, C. (2024). US Graduate Medical Education, 2023-2024. JAMA, 332 (24), 2127-2153.
6. "Successes and Lessons Learned in Responding to the Needs of Pediatricians, Children, and Families During the COVID-19 Pandemic." Pediatrics 153, no. 6 (2024): e2024066634.
7. World Health Organization. (2016). Guideline: updates on paediatric emergency triage, assessment and treatment: care of critically ill children. In Guideline: updates on paediatric emergency triage, assessment and treatment: care of critically ill children.
8. Poonai, N., Brzozowski, V., Stang, A.S., Drendel, A.L., Boisclair, P., Miller, M., Harman, S., Ali, S., and Pediatric Emergency Research Canada (PERC, 2019. Pain management practices surrounding lumbar punctures in children: a survey of Canadian emergency physicians. Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine, 21 (2), pp.199-203.
9. Guerra-Guzman, K. (2023). The Effect of Parent-Child Relationships on Healthcare Utilization Among Children with Chronic Medical Health Concerns.
10. Razzak, J. A., & Kellermann, A. L. (2002). Emergency medical care in developing countries: Is it worthwhile? Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 80 (11), 900-905.
11. Hsia, R. Y., & Shen, Y. C. (2019). Emergency department closures and openings: spillover effects on patient outcomes in bystander hospitals. Health Affairs, 38 (9), 1496-1504.
12. Strengthening the health system. Emergency preparedness [Internet]. [cited 2025 Feb 6]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/strengthening-health-system-emergency-preparedness
13. Organization WH. Toolkit for assessing Health-system capacity for crisis management: strengthening Health-system emergency preparedness: parth 2. Assessment form. World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe; 2012.
14. Djalali A, Corte F, Della, Foletti M, Ragazzoni L, Gallardo AR, Lupescu O et al. Art of Disaster Preparedness in the European Union: A Survey on the Health Systems. PLoS Curr. 2014 [cited 2025 Feb 6];6:ecurrents.dis.56cf1c5c1b0deae1595a48e294685d2f. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4323414/. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed]
15. Johnson RW. Pediatric emergency care readiness in Europe: a descriptive survey. 2013.
16. Thacker N, Hasanoglu E, Dipesalema J, Namazova-Baranova L, Pulungan A, Alden E et al. Global Emergencies in Child Health: Challenges and Solutions—Viewpoint and Recommendations from the European Paediatric Association and the International Pediatric Association. J Pediatr [Internet]. 2021 Feb 1 [cited 2025 Feb 6];241:266. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9749795/ [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed]
17. Mortamet G, Lode N, Roumeliotis N, Baudin F, Javouhey E, Dubos F et al. Disaster preparedness in French paediatric hospitals 2 years after the terrorist attacks of 2015. Arch Dis Child [Internet]. 2019 Apr 1 [cited 2025 Feb 6];104 (4):322–7. Available from: https://adc.bmj.com/content/104/4/322 [DOI] [PubMed]
18. Hoey H, Mestrovic J, Vural M, Baranova LN, Somekh E, Pettoello-Mantovani M. Children facing naturconomic and public health crisis in Europe: The risks of a predictable

unpredictability. Turkish Archives of Pediatrics/Türk Pediatri Arşivi [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2025 Feb 6];55 (Suppl 1):4. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7488185/ [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed]

19. Eltayeb AA, Monazea EM, Elsayeh KI. Cardiac arrest in children: relation to resuscitation and outcome. Indian J Pediatr. (2015) 82 (7):612–8. 10.1007/s12098-014-1669-z [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
20. Zung WW. A rating instrument for anxiety disorders. Psychosomatics. (1971) 12 (6):371–9. 10.1016/S0033-3182 (71)71479-0 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
21. Zung WW. A self-rating depression scale. Arch Gen Psychiatry. (1965) 12:63–70. 10.1001/archpsyc.1965.01720310065008 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
22. Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD. JQoL, Trials PiC. The SF-36 Health Survey. (1990).
23. Zhang Y, Lu Q, Li N, Lu Y. Effect of intensive psychological nursing intervention on HAMD and SF-36 scores in patients with severe liver cancer in ICU. J Healthc Eng. (2022) 2022:4452308. 10.1155/2022/4452308 [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar] [Retracted]
24. Joseph MM, Mahajan P, Snow SK, Ku BC, Saidinejad M. Optimizing pediatric patient safety in the emergency care setting. J Emerg Nurs. (2022) 48 (6):652–65. 10.1016/j.jen.2022.08.010 [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]